arXiv:1211.1689v1 [math.AG] 7 Nov 2012

SPECTRUM OF HYPERPLANE ARRANGEMENTS IN FOUR VARIABLES

YOUNGHO YOON

Abstract. One of the most important invariants in singularity theory is the Hodge spectrum. Calculating the Hodge spectrum is a difficult task and formulas exist for only a few cases. In this article the main result is the formula for reduced hyperplane arrangements in four variables.

1. Introduction

The spectrum $Sp(f)$ of the germ of a hypersurface singularity $f : (\mathbb{C}^n,\ 0) \to (\mathbb{C},\ 0)$ is a fractional Laurent polynomial

$$Sp(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} n_{f,\alpha} t^\alpha$$

with $n_{f,\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is defined from the Hodge filtration and the monodromy on the cohomology of the Milnor fiber of f (see Section 2.1).

The spectra of generic hyperplane arrangements are known for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see [12]-5.6). If the hyperplane arrangement germ is reduced with $n = 2$, it is easy to calculate. In [1], the reduced hyperplane arrangement case with $n = 3$ is calculated and the case of $n = 4$ is partially calculated. Here we give a full formula for the $n = 4$ case. To our knowledge this is the first non-trivial case of a complete Hodge spectrum computation for a large class of hypersurface singularities with the dimension of singular locus bigger than 1 in which we cannot use the Thom-Sebastiani formula.

We may assume f is central (i.e. f has only linear forms as factors) since the spectrum $Sp(f)$ is defined locally.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume f is a reduced central hyperplane arrangement with d irreducible components in $\mathbb{C}^4$. Let m_V be the number of hyperplanes which pass through the edge V. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of dense edges excepting the hyperplanes in the arrangement. Then we have the following formulas for $i \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$,*

$$n_{f,\frac{i}{d}} = \eta_{0,i}(\langle \lceil i m_V/d \rceil - 1 \rangle_{V \in \mathcal{S}}) \text{ and}$$

$$n_{f,1+\frac{i}{d}} = \eta_{1,i}(\langle \lceil i m_V/d \rceil - 1, \lfloor (d-i) m_V/d \rfloor \rangle_{V \in \mathcal{S}}).$$

Similarly for $i \in \{0, \cdots, d-1\}$,

$$n_{f,4-\frac{i}{d}} = \eta_{0,i}(\langle \lfloor i m_V/d \rfloor \rangle_{V \in \mathcal{S}}) \text{ and}$$

$$n_{f,3-\frac{i}{d}} = \eta_{1,i}(\langle \lfloor i m_V/d \rfloor, \lceil (d-i) m_V/d \rceil - 1 \rangle_{V \in \mathcal{S}}).$$

Date: November 9, 2012.

Otherwise $n_{f,\alpha}=0$. *Here the functions* $\eta_{0,i}$ *and* $\eta_{1,i}$ *for each* i *are defined as*

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{0,i}(\langle u_V\rangle_{V\in\mathcal{S}}) = \binom{i-1}{3} &- \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}}\binom{u_W}{3}\\ &- \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\left((i-3)\binom{u_V}{2}-2\binom{u_V}{3}\right)\\ &- \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\sum_{\substack{W\subset V\\ W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}}}\left(2\binom{u_V}{3}-(u_W-2)\binom{u_V}{2}\right)+\delta_{0,i}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{1,i}(\langle u_V,v_V\rangle_{V\in\mathcal{S}}) = (d-i-1)\binom{i-1}{2} &- \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} v_W\binom{u_W}{2}\\ &- \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\left(u_Vv_V(i-2)+(d-i-1-2v_V)\binom{u_V}{2}\right)\\ &+ \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\sum_{\substack{W\subset V\\ W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}}}\left(u_Vv_V(u_W-u_V)+v_W\binom{u_V}{2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where the set $\mathcal{S}^{(k)}$ *is the set of the codimension* k *edges in* $\mathcal{S}$. *The notations* $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$, *and* $\lceil\cdot\rceil$ *mean the round down and the round up respectively. Also,* $\delta_{0,i}=1$ *if* $0=i$ *and* 0 *otherwise.*

For the definitions of edge and dense edge see Section 3. In this theorem the formula for $n_{f,\frac{i}{d}}$ for $i\in\{1,\cdots,d\}$ had been proved in [1].

If f is not essential (i.e. f is a function of fewer variables for a possibly different choice of coordinates), we can apply the Thom-Sebastiani formula (see [10]-II (8.10.6)) and recover the formulas for $n=3$ and $n=2$.

Corollary 1.2. *Assume* f *is a reduced central hyperplane arrangement with* d *irreducible components in* $\mathbb{C}^3$. *Let* m_V *be the number of hyperplanes which pass through the edge* V. *Let* $\mathcal{S}$ *be the set of codimension 2 dense edges of the arrangement. Then we have the following formulas for* $i\in\{1,\cdots,d\}$*:*

$$\begin{aligned}n_{f,\frac{i}{d}} &= \binom{i-1}{2}-\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}}\binom{\lceil im_V/d\rceil-1}{2},\\ n_{f,1+\frac{i}{d}} &= (i-1)(d-i-1)-\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}}(\lceil im_V/d\rceil-1)(m_V-\lceil im_V/d\rceil),\ \textit{and}\\ n_{f,2+\frac{i}{d}} &= \binom{d-i-1}{2}-\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}}\binom{m_V-\lceil im_V/d\rceil}{2}-\delta_{i,d}.\end{aligned}$$

Otherwise $n_{f,\alpha}=0$.

This had been proved in [1].

Corollary 1.3. *Assume f is a reduced central hyperplane arrangement with d irreducible components in $\mathbb{C}^2$. Then we have the following formulas for $i \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$*

$$n_{f,\frac{i}{d}} = i - 1 \text{ and } n_{f,1+\frac{i}{d}} = d - i - 1 + \delta_{i,d}.$$

Otherwise $n_{f,\alpha} = 0$.

For the generic hyperplane arrangements with $n = 4$ case, we do not have codimension 2 and 3 dense edges. Thus, we get the following result.

Corollary 1.4. *Assume f is a generic hyperplane arrangement with d irreducible components in $\mathbb{C}^4$. Then we have the following formulas for $i \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$*

$$n_{f,\frac{i}{d}} = \binom{i-1}{3} \text{ and } n_{f,1+\frac{i}{d}} = (d-i-1)\binom{i-1}{2}.$$

For $i \in \{0, \cdots, d-1\}$, we have

$$n_{f,4-\frac{i}{d}} = \binom{i-1}{3} + \delta_{0,i} \text{ and } n_{f,3-\frac{i}{d}} = (d-i-1)\binom{i-1}{2}.$$

Otherwise $n_{f,\alpha} = 0$. Here $\delta_{0,i} = 1$ if $0 = i$ and 0 otherwise.

Consider decomposable cases (i.e. after possibly a different change of coordinates, $f = f_1 f_2$ for non-constant f_1 and f_2, two polynomials in two disjoint sets of variables). According to [7]-Theorem 1.2, we know $n_{f,\alpha} = 0$ except for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ when the degrees of f_1 and f_2 are relative primes. Here we give formulas for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ in 4 variables.

Corollary 1.5. *Assume $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) f_2(x_4)$ for non-constant f_1 and f_2. Also assume f is a reduced central hyperplane arrangement with d irreducible components in $\mathbb{C}^4$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} n_{f,1} &= \binom{d-2}{2} - \sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \binom{m_V - 1}{2}, \\ n_{f,2} &= -\binom{d-1}{2} + \sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \binom{m_V - 1}{2}, \text{ and} \\ n_{f,3} &= d - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise $n_{f,\alpha} = 0$.

Corollary 1.6. *Assume $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = f_1(x_1, x_2) f_2(x_3, x_4)$ for non-constant f_1 and f_2. Also assume f is a reduced central hyperplane arrangement with d irreducible components in $\mathbb{C}^4$. Let s_1 and s_2 be the degree of f_1 and f_2 respectively. If $gcd(s_1, s_2) = 1$ then we have the following formulas for $i \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$:*

$$n_{f,1} = (s_1 - 1)(s_2 - 1), \; n_{f,2} = 1 - s_1 s_2, \text{ and } n_{f,3} = s_1 + s_2 - 1.$$

Otherwise $n_{f,\alpha} = 0$.

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank his advisor, Nero Budur, and Melissa Davidson for constructive conversations about this paper and the Department of Mathematics at the University of Notre Dame for supporting him in his doctoral studies.

2. SPECTRUM

2.1. **Milnor fiber and Hodge spectrum.** Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be the germ of a non-zero holomorphic function. Then the Milnor fiber $M_{f,0}$ is defined as

$$M_{f,0} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n | |z| < \epsilon \ and \ f(z) = t\} \text{ for } 0 < |t| \ll \epsilon \ll 1.$$

The cohomology groups $H^*(M_f, \mathbb{C})$ carry canonical mixed Hodge structures such that the semi-simple part T_s of the monodromy acts as an automorphism of finite order of these mixed Hodge structures (see [11]-12.1.3). The eigenvalues λ of the monodromy action on $H^*(M_f, \mathbb{C})$ are roots of unity. We define the *spectrum multiplicity* of f at $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ to be

$$n_{f,\alpha} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{j-n+1} \dim Gr_F^p \tilde{H}^j(M_{f,0}, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$$

$$\text{with } p = \lfloor n - \alpha \rfloor, \ \lambda = \exp(-2\pi i \alpha),$$

where $\tilde{H}^j(M_{f,0}, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$ is the λ-eigenspace of the reduced cohomology under T_s and F is the Hodge filtration. It is known that $n_{f,\alpha} = 0$ for $\alpha \notin (0, n)$ (see [2]). The *Hodge spectrum* of the germ f is the fractional Laurent polynomial

$$Sp(f) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} n_{f,\alpha} t^\alpha.$$

2.2. **Spectrum of homogeneous polynomials.** Assume that f is homogeneous with degree d. Then we can consider the divisor $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1} =: Y$ defined by f. Let $\rho : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ be an embedded resolution of Z inducing an isomorphism over $Y \backslash Z$. We have a divisor $\tilde{Z} := \rho^* Z$ with normal crossing on $\tilde{Y}$. Set $\tilde{Z} = \sum_{j \in J} m_V E_V$ where E_V are the irreducible components with multiplicity m_V. Let $\tilde{H}$ be the total transform of general hyperplane H of Y. Then the eigenvalues of the monodromy are d-th roots of unity (see [5]-4) and we have the following formula for spectrum multiplicity (see [1]-1.5). Note that the formula holds only on $\alpha \in (0, n)$ by [2].

Proposition 2.1. *For* $\alpha = n - p - \frac{i}{d} \in (0, n)$ *with* $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $i \in [0, d-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}$

$$n_{f,\alpha} = (-1)^{p-n+1} \chi \left(\tilde{Y}, \Omega^p_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z}) \bigotimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \left(-i\tilde{H} + \sum_{j \in J} \lfloor i m_V / d \rfloor E_V \right) \right), \tag{1}$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ *is round down.*

Using Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch, we can calculate $n_{f,\alpha}$.

Corollary 2.2. *Let* $\mathcal{E}_{i,p} := \Omega^p_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z}) \bigotimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}\left(-i\tilde{H} + \sum_{j\in J}\lfloor im_V/d\rfloor E_V\right)$*. Then*

$$n_{f,\alpha} = (-1)^{p-n+1}(ch(\mathcal{E}_{i,p}) \cdot td(\tilde{Y}))_{n-1}, \tag{2}$$

where $ch(\mathcal{E}_{i,p})$ *is the Chern character of* $\mathcal{E}_{i,p}$ *and* $td(\tilde{Y})$ *is the Todd class of the tangent bundle* $T\tilde{Y}$*.*

Now we use the intersection theory to calculate $ch(\mathcal{E}_{i,p})$ and $td(TX)$. We will denote $c(X) := c(TX)$, $ch(X) := ch(TX)$, and $td(X) := td(TX)$ for the tangent bundle TX of a variety X.

2.3. Calculation from intersection theory.

Let $A := \Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z})$ and $U_i := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}\left(-i\tilde{H} + \sum_{j\in J}\lfloor im_V/d\rfloor E_V\right)$. Then

$$ch(\mathcal{E}_{i,p}) = ch(\wedge^p A) \cdot ch(U_i).$$

Thus, we have

$$n_{f,\alpha} = (-1)^{p-n+1}(ch(\wedge^p A) \cdot ch(U_i) \cdot td(\tilde{Y}))_{n-1}. \tag{3}$$

Chen classes calculate Chern character and Todd classes. For a given vector bundle E of rank r, the following is well known (see [9]-3.2),

$$ch(E) = r + c_1(E) + \frac{1}{2}\left(c_1(E)^2 - 2c_2(E)\right) + \frac{1}{6}\left(c_1(E)^3 - 3c_1(E)c_2(E) + 3c_3(E)\right) + \cdots, \tag{4}$$

and

$$td(E) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1(E) + \frac{1}{12}\left(c_1(E)^2 + c_2(E)\right) + \frac{1}{24}\left(c_1(E)c_2(E)\right) + \cdots. \tag{5}$$

Hence, we need to calculate $c(\wedge^p A)$ to get $ch(\wedge^p A)$. It can be calculated from the following formula. Let A have rank r and write the Chern polynomial $c_t(A) = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + x_i t)$ where x_i are formal symbols. Then we have

$$c_t(\wedge^p A) = \prod_{1\le i_1 < \cdots < i_p \le r}\left(1 + (x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_p})t\right).$$

We give an example of the case of rank 3 for our calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
c(\wedge^0 A) =& 1,\\
c(\wedge^1 A) =& 1 + c_1(A) + c_2(A) + c_3(A),\\
c(\wedge^2 A) =& 1 + 2c_1(A) + \left(c_1(A)^2 + c_2(A)\right) + \left(c_1(A)c_2(A) - c_3(A)\right),\\
c(\wedge^3 A) =& 1 + c_1(A).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (4) we get:

(6)

$$ch(\wedge^0 A) = 1,$$

$$ch(\wedge^1 A) = 3 + c_1(A) + \frac{1}{2}\left(c_1(A)^2 - 2c_2(A)\right) + \frac{1}{6}\left(c_1(A)^3 - 3c_1(A)c_2(A) + 3c_3(A)\right),$$

$$ch(\wedge^2 A) = 3 + 2c_1(A) + \left(c_1(A)^2 - c_2(A)\right) + \frac{1}{6}\left(2c_1(A)^3 - 3c_1(A)c_2(A) - 3c_3(A)\right), \text{ and}$$

$$ch(\wedge^3 A) = 1 + c_1(A) + \frac{1}{2}c_1(A)^2 + \frac{1}{6}c_1(A)^3.$$

We should calculate $c(A) = c(\Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z}))$. We have the following short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}} \to \Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z}) \to \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(E_V) \to 0.$$

This induces

$$c(\Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z})) = c(\Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}}) \prod_{j \in J} c(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(E_V)). \tag{7}$$

Summarizing this section we should construct $\tilde{Y}$ explicitly to calculate $n_{f,\alpha}$ in the homogeneous cases.

3. SPECTRUM OF HYPERPLANE ARRANGEMENTS

Let D be a hyperplane arrangement defined by $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ with $D_l (l \in \Lambda)$ the irreducible components of D. We say that D is *central* if all the D_l pass through the origin. D is *essential* if $\cap_l D_l = \{0\}$. Assume that D is central and essential. Hence, f is homogeneous so that we can apply Corollary 2.2. We define the *intersection lattice* $\mathcal{S}(D)$ as

$$\mathcal{S}(D) = \{\cap_{l \in I} D_l\}_{I \subset \Lambda, I \neq \emptyset}.$$

Each element in this set is called an *edge*. An edge is *dense* if the subarrangement of hyperplanes containing it is *indecomposable* (see the definition of decomposable in the introduction). For $V \in \mathcal{S}(D)$, define $\gamma(V) := \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{C}^n} V$. Let $D^{nnc} \subset D$ denote the complement of the subset consisting of normal crossing singularities. Set

$$\mathcal{S}(D)^{nnc} = \{V \in \mathcal{S}(D) | V \subset D^{nnc}\}.$$

For $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S}(D)^{nnc}$, let

$$\mathcal{S}^{(k)} = \{V \in \mathcal{S} | \gamma(V) = k\}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{S}^{(k)}$ is the set of codimension k dense edges in the arrangement for $k \geq 2$.

3.1. **Construction of $\tilde{Y}$.** We construct $\tilde{Y}$ using successive blow-ups (see [6]). Let $Y_0 = Y = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. For a vector space $V \subset X = \mathbb{C}^n$, its corresponding subspace of Y will be denoted by $\mathbb{P}(V)$. For $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(D)^{nnc}$, there is a sequence of blow-ups $\rho_i : Y_{i+1} \to Y_i$ for $0 \leq i < n-2$ whose center is the disjoint union of the proper transforms of $\mathbb{P}(V)$ for $V \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\dim \mathbb{P}(V) = i$. Set $\tilde{Y} = Y_{n-2}$ with $\rho : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ the composition of the ρ_i. This is the canonical log resolution of $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1},\ Z)$ from [6]-4 where Z is the divisor defined by f.

3.2. **Cohomology of $\tilde{Y}$.** Let $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S}(D)^{nnc}$. By [6] the cohomology ring of $\tilde{Y}$ is described as

$$\mathbb{Q}[e_V]_{V\in\mathcal{S}}/I_{\mathcal{S}} \tilde{\to} H^\bullet(\tilde{Y},\mathbb{Q}) \tag{8}$$

sending e_V to $[E_V]$ for $V \neq 0$ and e_0 to $-[E_0]$, where e_V are independent variables for $V \in \mathcal{S}$ and E_0 is the total transform of a general hyperplane which was denoted by $\tilde{H}$. Moreover, the ideal $I_{\mathcal{S}}$ is generated by

$$R_{V,W} = \begin{cases} e_V e_W & \text{if } V,W \text{ are incomparable,} \\ e_V \tilde{e}_W^{\gamma(W)-\gamma(V)} & \text{if } W \subsetneq \text{V}, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where $\tilde{e}_W := \sum_{W'\subset W} e_{W'}$. Here $V, W, W' \in \mathcal{S} \cup \{\mathbb{C}^n\}$ and $e_{\mathbb{C}^n} = 1$.

From now we use e_V and e_0 for $[E_V]$ and $-[E_0]$ in $H^\bullet(\tilde{Y},\mathbb{Q})$.

3.3. **Calculation of $c(\tilde{Y})$.** $\tilde{Y}$ was constructed by the successive blow-ups. By [4], we have a formula for the Chern class of $\tilde{Y}$, $c(\tilde{Y}) = \prod_{V\in\mathcal{S}} F_V$, where

$$F_V = \begin{cases} (1+e_V-\tilde{e}_V)^{-\gamma(V)}(1+e_V)(1-\tilde{e}_V)^{\gamma(V)} & \text{if } V \neq 0, \\ (1-e_0)^n & \text{if } V = 0. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

3.4. **Duality on $\tilde{Y}$.** Let U be a divisor on $\tilde{Y}$. $u := [U]$ can be written as $u = u_0 e_0 + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}} u_V e_V \in H^2(\tilde{Y})$ where $u_0, u_V \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $\mathcal{F}_p(U) := \Omega^p_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(U)$ and consider a function $\mu_p : H^2(\tilde{Y}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\mu_p(u) := (-1)^{p-n+1}\chi(\tilde{Y}, \mathcal{F}_p(U))$ for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 2.1, $n_{f,n-p-\frac{i}{d}} = \mu_p\left(ie_0 + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}} \lfloor im_V/d \rfloor e_V\right)$. Using Serre duality, we get the following property.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume f is a reduced hyperplane arrangement of degree d. Then we have the following for $i \in \{0, \cdots, d-1\}$ and $p \in \{0, \cdots, n-1\}$*

$$n_{f,p+1-\frac{i}{d}} = \mu_p\left((d-i)e_0 + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}} (m_V - 1 - \lfloor im_V/d \rfloor) e_V\right).$$

Proof. By Serre duality we have $H^q(\tilde{Y}, \mathcal{F}_p(U)) \cong H^{n-1-q}(\tilde{Y}, \mathcal{F}_{n-1-p}(-\tilde{Z}_{red} - U))^\vee$ using $\Omega^p_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z}) = \Omega^{n-1-p}_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z})^\vee \otimes \omega_{\tilde{Y}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(\tilde{Z}_{red})$(see [8]-6.8 (b)). Let $z \in H^2(\tilde{Y})$ be the corresponding element to $\tilde{Z}_{red}$. From this duality

$$\mu_p(u) = \mu_{n-1-p}(-z-u). \tag{11}$$

Let $d_l (l \in \Lambda) \in H^2(\tilde{Y})$ be the corresponding element to the strict transform of an irreducible component D_l in the hyperplane arrangement $D = \cup_{l\in\Lambda} D_l$. We have relations $d_l = -\left(e_0 + \sum_{V\subset D_l} e_V\right)$ and $z = \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}} e_V + \sum_{l\in\Lambda} d_l$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}} e_V + \sum_{l\in\Lambda} d_l \\ &= \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}} e_V - \sum_{l\in\Lambda}\left(e_0 + \sum_{V\subset D_l} e_V\right) \\ &= \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}} e_V - \left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}} m_V e_V + d e_0\right) \\ &= \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}} (1-m_V) e_V - d e_0. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging into (11) we have

$$\mu_p(u_0 e_0 + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}} u_V e_V) = \mu_{n-1-p}((d-u_0)e_0 + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}} (m_V - 1 - u_V) e_V).$$

This equality means

$$n_{f,p+1-\frac{i}{d}} = n_{f,n-(n-1-p)-\frac{i}{d}} = \mu_p\left((d-i)e_0 + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}} (m_V - 1 - \lfloor i m_V/d \rfloor) e_V\right).$$

□

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Recall that e_V and e_0 are the generators of $H^\bullet(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Q})$. Let a_V, b_W and c denote the e_V for $V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}$, e_W for $W \in \mathcal{S}^{(3)}$ and e_0 respectively (see 3.2).

4.1. Calculation of $H^\bullet(\tilde{Y})$.

According to Section 3.2, we have generators a_V, b_W and c and the followings vanish in $H^\bullet(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Q})$ by (9):

$$a_V a_{V'}\ (V \neq V'),\ b_W b_{W'}\ (W \neq W'),\ a_V b_W\ (W \not\subset V),$$
$$a_V(b_W + c)\ (W \subset V),\ a_V c^2, b_W c,$$
$$(a_V + \sum_{W\subset V} b_W + c)^2,\ (b_W + c)^3,\ \text{and}\ \ c^4.$$

Hence, we have the following relations from the above:

$$\begin{gathered} a_V a_{V'} = b_W b_{W'} = b_W c = a_V b_W = 0\ (V \neq V', W \neq W', W \not\subset V), \\ a_V b_W^2 = a_V c^2 = b_W^2 c = b_W c^2 = a_V b_W c = 0, \\ a_V^3 = 2\left(1 - \sum_{W\subset V} 1\right) c^3,\ a_V^2 c = b_W^3 = -c^3, c^4 = 0, \\ a_V b_W = -a_V c\ (W \subset V),\ \text{and}\ a_V^2 b_W = c^3\ (W \subset V). \end{gathered} \tag{12}$$

From relations (12) we get :

$$\left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} N_V a_V^s\right)\left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} N'_V a_V^{s'}\right) = \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} N_V N'_V a_V^{s+s'}, \tag{13}$$

$$\left(\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} N_V b_W^s\right)\left(\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} N'_V b_W^{s'}\right) = \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} N_W N'_W b_W^{s+s'},$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} N_V a_V^s\right)\left(\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} N'_V b_W^{s'}\right) = \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\sum_{W\subset V} N_V N'_W a_V^s b_W^{s'}$$

for any coefficients N_V, N'_V, N_W, N'_W and any positive integers s and s'. These equalities are very useful for the calculations.

4.2. **Calculation of Chern classes.** According to Section 3.3, we have

$$c(\tilde{Y}) = (1-c)^4 \prod_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}}\left((1+b_W)\left(\frac{1-c-b_W}{1-c}\right)^3\right)$$
$$\cdot \prod_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\left((1+a_V)\left(\frac{1-c-\sum_{W\subset V} b_W - a_V}{1-c-\sum_{W\subset V} b_W}\right)^2\right).$$

Since $c(\tilde{Y}) = c((\Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}})^\vee)$, it is enough to change the signs of all the generators in the formula above for the calculation of $c(\Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}})$

$$c(\Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}}) = (1+c)^4 \prod_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}}\left((1-b_W)\left(\frac{1+c+b_W}{1+c}\right)^3\right)$$
$$\cdot \prod_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\left((1-a_V)\left(\frac{1+c+\sum_{W\subset V} b_W + a_V}{1+c+\sum_{W\subset V} b_W}\right)^2\right).$$

From equation (7), we have

$$c(\Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z})) = c(\Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}}) \prod_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} \frac{1}{1-b_W} \prod_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \frac{1}{1-a_V} \prod_{l\in\Lambda} \frac{1}{1-d_l},$$

where $d_l (l\in\Lambda)$ correspond to the strict transform of an irreducible component D_l of hyperplane arrangement $D = \cup_{l\in\Lambda} D_l$. Recall $d_l = -\left(c + \sum_{W\subset D_l} b_W + \sum_{V\subset D_l} a_V\right)$. From this relation,

$$c(\Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z})) = (1+c)^4 \prod_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} \left(\frac{1+c+b_W}{1+c}\right)^3 \prod_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left(\frac{1+c+\sum_{W\subset V} b_W + a_V}{1+c+\sum_{W\subset V} b_W}\right)^2$$
$$\cdot \prod_{l\in\Lambda} \frac{1}{1+c+\sum_{W\subset D_l} b_W + \sum_{V\subset D_l} a_V}.$$

Notice that the Chern classes above $c(\tilde{Y})$, $c(\Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}})$ and $c(\Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z}))$ are calculated from the following factors:

$$\prod_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (1-a_V),\ \prod_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} (1-b_W),\ (1+c)^4,\ \prod_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} \left(\frac{1+c+b_W}{1+c}\right)^3,$$

$$\prod_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left(\frac{1+c+\sum_{W\subset V} b_W + a_V}{1+c+\sum_{W\subset V} b_W}\right)^2,\ \text{and}\ \prod_{l\in\Lambda} \frac{1}{1-d_l}.$$

We calculate each factor using the relations (12):

$$\prod_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (1-a_V) = 1 + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (-a_V),$$

$$\prod_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} (1-b_W) = 1 + \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} (-b_W),$$

$$(1+c)^4 = 1 + 4c + 6c^2 + 4c^3,$$

$$\prod_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} \left(\frac{1+c+b_W}{1+c}\right)^3 = 1 + 3\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} b_W + 3\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} b_W^2 + \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} b_W^3,$$

$$\prod_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left(\frac{1+c+\sum_{W\subset V} b_W + a_V}{1+c+\sum_{W\subset V} b_W}\right)^2 = 1 + 2\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} a_V$$
$$+ \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left(a_V^2 - 2(1 - \sum_{W\subset V} 1)a_V c\right) + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} a_V^3,$$

and

(14)

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{l\in\Lambda}\frac{1}{1-d_l} &= \prod_{l\in\Lambda}\frac{1}{1+c+\sum_{W\subset D_l} b_W+\sum_{V\subset D_l} a_V}\\
&= 1-\left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} m_V a_V+\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} m_W b_W+dc\right)\\
&+\left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\left(\binom{m_V+1}{2}a_V^2+\left(d+1-\sum_{W\subset V}(m_W+1)\right)m_V a_V c\right)\right.\\
&\left.+\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}}\binom{m_W+1}{2}b_W^2+\binom{d+1}{2}c^2\right)\\
&-\left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\left(2\binom{m_V+1}{3}-d\binom{m_V+1}{2}\right)-\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}}\binom{m_W+2}{3}+\binom{d+2}{3}\right.\\
&\left.-\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\sum_{W\subset V}\left(2\binom{m_V+1}{3}-m_W\binom{m_V+1}{2}\right)\right)c^3,
\end{aligned}$$

where $m_V=\sum_{V\subset D_l}1$ and $m_W=\sum_{W\subset D_l}1$. We need some work for $\prod_{l\in\Lambda}\frac{1}{1-d_l}$ (see Section 4.3).

From these factors we give formulas for the Chern classes:

$$\begin{aligned}
c(\Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}}) &= 1+\left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} a_V+\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} 2b_W+4c\right)+\left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\left(-a_V^2+2a_Vc\right)+6c^2\right)\\
&+\left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} 2+\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} 2+4\right)c^3,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c(\tilde{Y}) &= 1-\left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} a_V+\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} 2b_W+4c\right)+\left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}(-a_V^2+2a_Vc)+6c^2\right)\\
&-\left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} 2+\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} 2+4\right)c^3,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(\Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z})) = 1 - &\left(\sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (m_V - 2)a_V + \sum_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{(3)}} (m_W - 3)b_W + (d-4)c \right) - \\
&+ \left(\sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left(\binom{m_V - 1}{2} a_V^2 + (m_V - 2) \left(d - 3 - \sum_{W \subset V} (m_W - 2) \right) a_V c \right) \right. \\
&\left. + \sum_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{(3)}} \binom{m_W - 2}{2} b_W^2 + \binom{d-3}{2} c^2 \right) \\
&- \left(\sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left(2\binom{m_V - 1}{3} - (d-4)\binom{m_V - 1}{2} \right) - \sum_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{(3)}} \binom{m_W - 1}{3} \right. \\
&\left. + \binom{d-2}{3} - \sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \sum_{W \subset V} \left(2\binom{m_V - 1}{3} - (m_W - 3)\binom{m_V - 1}{2} \right) \right) c^3.
\end{aligned}
$$

4.3. **Calculation of $\prod_{l \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{1-d_l}$.** We calculate $\prod_{l \in \Lambda}(1-d_l)$ and then invert it. After fixing a total order on Λ,

$$
\prod_{l \in \Lambda}(1 - d_l) = 1 + \sum_{l}(-d_l) + \sum_{l<l'} d_l d_{l'} + \sum_{l<l'<l''} (-d_l d_{l'} d_{l''}).
$$

Since we have the relation $d_l = -\left(c + \sum_{W \subset D_l} b_W + \sum_{V \subset D_l} a_V\right)$, we get the following results (see Section 4.3.1 for $\sum_{l<l'} d_l d_{l'}$ and Section 4.3.2 for $\sum_{l<l'<l''}(-d_l d_{l'} d_{l''})$):

$$
\sum_{l}(-d_l) = \sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}} m_V a_V + \sum_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{(3)}} m_W b_W + dc,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l<l'} d_l d_{l'} = &\sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left(\binom{m_V}{2} a_V^2 + \left(\left((d-1) - \sum_{W \subset V} (m_W - 1) \right) m_V a_V c \right) \right) \\
&+ \sum_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{(3)}} \binom{m_W}{2} b_W^2 + \binom{d}{2} c^2, \text{ and}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l<l'<l''} (-d_l d_{l'} d_{l''}) = &\left(\sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left(2\binom{m_V}{3} - (d-2)\binom{m_V}{2} \right) - \sum_{W \in \mathcal{S}^{(3)}} \binom{m_W}{3} + \binom{d}{3} \right. \\
&\left. - \sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left(\sum_{W \subset V} 2\binom{m_V}{3} - (m_W - 2)\binom{m_V}{2} \right) \right) c^3.
\end{aligned}
$$

In terms of $\sum_l(-d_l)$, $\sum_{l<l'} d_l d_{l'}$ and $\sum_{l<l'<l''}(-d_l d_{l'} d_{l''})$,

$$\prod_{l\in\Lambda}\frac{1}{1-d_l} = 1-\left(\sum_l(-d_l)\right)+\left(\left(\sum_l(-d_l)\right)^2-\sum_{l<l'} d_l d_{l'}\right)$$
$$-\left(\left(\sum_l(-d_l)\right)^3-2\left(\sum_l(-d_l)\right)\left(\sum_{l<l'} d_l d_{l'}\right)+\sum_{l<l'<l''}(-d_l d_{l'} d_{l''})\right).$$

Using the equalities (13) we get the previous result (14).

4.3.1. *Calculation of* $\sum_{l<l'} d_l d_{l'}$.

$$\sum_{l<l'} d_l d_{l'} = \sum_{l<l'}\left(\sum_{V\subset D_l, D_{l'}} a_V^2+\sum_{W\subset D_l, D_{l'}} b_W^2+c^2+\sum_{V\subset D_l} a_V c+\sum_{V\subset D_{l'}} a_V c\right.$$
$$\left.\sum_{\substack{V\subset D_l\\ W\subset D_{l'}}} a_V b_W+\sum_{\substack{V\subset D_{l'}\\ W\subset D_l}} a_V b_W+\sum_{W\subset D_l} b_W c+\sum_{W\subset D_{l'}} b_W c\right).$$

Consider a term a_V^2 in

$$\sum_{l<l'}\sum_{\substack{V\subset D_l\\ W\subset D_{l'}}} a_V^2.$$

The coefficient of a_V^2 is the number of ways of choosing two hyperplanes D_l and $D_{l'}$ out of the hyperplanes containing V. Similarly for the fixed b_W^2 and c^2, their coefficients are the number of ways of choosing two hyperplanes D_l and $D_{l'}$ out of the hyperplanes containing W and 0 respectively. Hence, we get

$$\sum_{l<l'}\left(\sum_{V\subset D_l, D_{l'}} a_V^2+\sum_{W\subset D_l, D_{l'}} b_W^2+c^2\right)=\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\binom{m_V}{2}a_V^2+\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}}\binom{m_W}{2}b_W^2+\binom{d}{2}c^2.$$

Consider a term $a_V c$ in

$$\sum_{l<l'}\left(\sum_{V\subset D_l} a_V c+\sum_{V\subset D_{l'}} a_V c\right).$$

We have two possibilities. Both two hyperplanes D_l and $D_{l'}$ contain V or only one hyperplane D_l or $D_{l'}$ contains V. For the first possibility both terms

$$\sum_{V\subset D_l} a_V c \text{ and } \sum_{V\subset D_l} a_V c$$

survive. We get $\binom{m_V}{2}$ such cases. For the other possibility only one term survives and we have $m_V(d-m_V)$ such cases. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l<l'}\left(\sum_{V\subset D_l} a_V c + \sum_{V\subset D_{l'}} a_V c\right) &= \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\left(2\binom{m_V}{2} + m_V(d-m_v)\right) a_V c\\ &= \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (d-1)m_V a_V c.\end{aligned}$$

Consider a term $a_V b_W$ in

$$\sum_{l<l'}\left(\sum_{\substack{V\subset D_l\\ W\subset D_{l'}}} a_V b_W + \sum_{\substack{V\subset D_{l'}\\ W\subset D_l}} a_V b_W\right).$$

We have two possibilities. Both two hyperplanes D_l and $D_{l'}$ contain V so that both contain W or only one hyperplane D_l or $D_{l'}$ contains V but the other contains only W. For the first possibility both terms

$$\sum_{\substack{V\subset D_l\\ W\subset D_{l'}}} a_V b_W \text{ and } \sum_{\substack{V\subset D_{l'}\\ W\subset D_l}} a_V b_W$$

survive and we get $\binom{m_V}{2}$ such cases. For the other possibility only one term survives and we have $m_V(m_W - m_V)$ such cases. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l<l'}\left(\sum_{\substack{V\subset D_l\\ W\subset D_{l'}}} a_V b_W + \sum_{\substack{V\subset D_{l'}\\ W\subset D_l}} a_V b_W\right) &= \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\sum_{W\subset V}\left(2\binom{m_V}{2} + m_V(m_W - m_V)\right) a_V b_W\\ &= \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\sum_{W\subset V}(m_W - 1)m_V a_V b_W.\end{aligned}$$

The other terms $b_W c$ for any W vanish by our relation (12). Therefore, we get the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l<l'} d_l d_{l'} = &\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\left(\binom{m_V}{2} a_V^2 + \left((d-1) - \sum_{W\subset V}(m_W - 1)\right) m_V a_V c\right)\\ &+ \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}}\binom{m_W}{2} b_W^2 + \binom{d}{2} c^2.\end{aligned}$$

4.3.2. *Calculation of* $\sum_{l<l'<l''}(-d_l d_{l'} d_{l''})$. After cutting out vanishing terms, we get the following equality

$$\sum_{l<l'<l''}(-d_l d_{l'} d_{l''}) = \sum_{l<l'<l''}\left(\sum_{V\subset D_l,D_{l'},D_{l''}} a_V^3 + \sum_{W\subset D_l,D_{l'},D_{l''}} b_W^3 + c^3\right.$$
$$+\sum_{V\subset D_l,D_{l'}} a_V^2 c + \sum_{V\subset D_l,D_{l''}} a_V^2 c + \sum_{V\subset D_{l'},D_{l''}} a_V^2 c$$
$$\left.+\sum_{\substack{V\subset D_l,D_{l'}\\ W\subset D_{l''}}} a_V^2 b_W + \sum_{\substack{V\subset D_l,D_{l''}\\ W\subset D_{l'}}} a_V^2 b_W + \sum_{\substack{V\subset D_{l'},D_{l''}\\ W\subset D_l}} a_V^2 b_W\right).$$

Consider a term a_V^3 in

$$\sum_{l<l'<l''}\sum_{V\subset D_l,D_{l'},D_{l''}} a_V^3.$$

The coefficient of a_V^2 is the number of ways of choosing three hyperplanes D_l,$D_{l'}$ and $D_{l''}$ out of the hyperplanes containing V. Similarly for the fixed b_W^3 and c^3, their coefficients are the number of ways of choosing two hyperplanes D_l,$D_{l'}$ and $D_{l''}$ out of the hyperplanes containing W and 0 respectively. Thus, we get

$$\sum_{l<l'<l''}\left(\sum_{V\subset D_l,D_{l'},D_{l''}} a_V^3 + \sum_{W\subset D_l,D_{l'},D_{l''}} b_W^3 + c^3\right)$$
$$= \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\binom{m_V}{3}a_V^3 + \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}}\binom{m_W}{3}b_W^3 + \binom{d}{3}c^3.$$

Consider a term $a_V^2 c$ in

$$\sum_{l<l'<l''}\left(\sum_{V\subset D_l,D_{l'}} a_V^2 c + \sum_{V\subset D_l,D_{l''}} a_V^2 c + \sum_{V\subset D_{l'},D_{l''}} a_V^2 c\right).$$

We have two possibilities. All three hyperplanes D_l, $D_{l'}$ and $D_{l''}$ contain V or only two hyperplanes contain V. For the first possibility all three terms

$$\sum_{V\subset D_l,D_{l'}} a_V^2 c,\quad \sum_{V\subset D_l,D_{l''}} a_V^2 c \text{ and } \sum_{V\subset D_{l'},D_{l''}} a_V^2 c$$

survive. We get $\binom{m_V}{3}$ such cases. For the other possibility only one term survives and we have $\binom{m_V}{2}(d-m_V)$ such cases. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l<l'<l''} &\left(\sum_{V\subset D_l, D_{l'}} a_V^2 c + \sum_{V\subset D_l, D_{l''}} a_V^2 c + \sum_{V\subset D_{l'}, D_{l''}} a_V^2 c \right) \\
&= \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left(3\binom{m_V}{3} + \binom{m_V}{2}(d-m_V) \right) a_V^2 c \\
&= \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (d-2)\binom{m_V}{2} a_V^2 c.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider a term $a_V^2 b_W$ in

$$\sum_{l<l'<l''} \left(\sum_{\substack{V\subset D_l, D_{l'} \\ W\subset D_{l''}}} a_V^2 b_W + \sum_{\substack{V\subset D_l, D_{l''} \\ W\subset D_{l'}}} a_V^2 b_W + \sum_{\substack{V\subset D_{l'}, D_{l''} \\ W\subset D_l}} a_V^2 b_W \right).$$

We have two possibilities. All three hyperplanes D_l, $D_{l'}$ and $D_{l''}$ contain V so that they contain W or only two hyperplanes contain V but the other contains only W. For the first possibility all three terms

$$\sum_{\substack{V\subset D_l, D_{l'} \\ W\subset D_{l''}}} a_V^2 b_W, \quad \sum_{\substack{V\subset D_l, D_{l''} \\ W\subset D_{l'}}} a_V^2 b_W, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{V\subset D_{l'}, D_{l''} \\ W\subset D_l}} a_V^2 b_W$$

survive and we get $\binom{m_V}{3}$ such cases. For the other possibility only one term survives and we have $\binom{m_V}{2}(m_W - m_V)$ such cases. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l<l'<l''} &\left(\sum_{\substack{V\subset D_l, D_{l'} \\ W\subset D_{l''}}} a_V^2 b_W + \sum_{\substack{V\subset D_l, D_{l''} \\ W\subset D_{l'}}} a_V^2 b_W + \sum_{\substack{V\subset D_{l'}, D_{l''} \\ W\subset D_l}} a_V^2 b_W \right) \\
&= \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \sum_{W\subset V} \left(3\binom{m_V}{3} + \binom{m_V}{2}(m_W - m_V) \right) a_V^2 b_W \\
&= \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \sum_{W\subset V} (m_W - 2)\binom{m_V}{2} a_V b_W.
\end{aligned}$$

Using our relation (12), we get

$$\sum_{l<l'<l''}(-d_l d_{l'} d_{l''}) = \left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\left(2\binom{m_V}{3}-(d-2)\binom{m_V}{2}\right)-\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}}\binom{m_W}{3}+\binom{d}{3}\right.$$
$$\left.-\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\left(\sum_{W\subset V}2\binom{m_V}{3}-(m_W-2)\binom{m_V}{2}\right)\right)c^3.$$

4.4. **Calculation of Chern character for line bundle.** Let U be a divisor on $\tilde{Y}$. Then its class $u := [U]$ can be written as $u = \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} u_V a_V + \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} u_W b_W + u_0 c$. Since $c(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(U)) = 1+u$ we have

$$ch(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(U)) = 1 + \left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} u_V a_V + \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} u_W b_W + u_0 c\right)$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\left(u_V^2 a_V^2 + 2u_V\left(u_0 - \sum_{W\subset V} u_W\right)a_V c\right) + \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} u_W^2 b_W^2 + u_0^2 c^2\right)$$
$$+\frac{1}{6}\left(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} u_V^2\left((2u_V-3u_0)+\sum_{W\subset V}(3u_W-2u_V)\right)-\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} u_W^3 + u_0^3\right)c^3$$

by formula (4). Here we used (13) to simplify the calculation.

4.5. **Calculation of $n_{f,\alpha}$.** Let $\mu_p(u) = (-1)^{p-n+1}(ch(\Omega^p_{\tilde{Y}}(\log\tilde{Z}))\cdot ch(U)\cdot td(\tilde{Y}))_{n-1}$ as before (see Section 3.4). We have calculated $ch(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(U))$ in Section 4.4. We will calculate $td(\tilde{Y})$, $ch(\Omega^p_{\tilde{Y}}(\log\tilde{Z}))$, and $\mu_p(u)$ for each $p\in\{0,1,2,3\}$. Using (13) is crucial for the simplicity of calculation.

From Section 4.2 and formula (5) we calculate

$$td(\tilde{Y}) = 1 + \left(-\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\frac{a_V}{2} - \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} b_W - 2c\right)$$
$$+\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\left(\frac{(5-2\sum_{W\subset V}1)a_V c}{6} + \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}}\frac{b_W^2}{3}+\frac{11c^2}{6}\right) + (-c^3).$$

We can also calculate $ch(\Omega^p_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z}))$ for $p = 0, 1, 2, 3$ from Section 4.2 and formulas (6):

$$ch(\Omega^0_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z})) = ch(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}) = 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
ch(\Omega^1_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z})) = 3 &- \Bigg(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (m_V-2)a_V + \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} (m_W-3)b_W + (d-4)c\Bigg) \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\Bigg(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (m_V-2)\Bigg((a_V^2+2a_Vc) - 2\sum_{W\subset V} a_Vc\Bigg) + \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} (m_W-3)b_W^2 + (d-4)c^2\Bigg) \\
&+ \frac{1}{6}\Bigg(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (m_V-2)\Bigg(1-\sum_{W\subset V} 1\Bigg) + \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} (m_W-3) - (d-4)\Bigg)c^3,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
ch(\Omega^2_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z})) = 3 &- 2\Bigg(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (m_V-2)a_V + \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} (m_W-3)b_W + (d-4)c\Bigg) \\
&+ \Bigg(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \Bigg(\binom{m_V-2}{2}a_V^2 + (m_V-2)\Bigg((d-5) - \sum_{W\subset V}(m_W-4)\Bigg)a_Vc\Bigg) \\
&+ \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} \binom{m_W-3}{2}b_W^2 + \binom{d-4}{2}c^2\Bigg) \\
&- \frac{1}{6}\Bigg(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (m_V-2)\Bigg((3d-11) - \sum_{W\subset V}(3m_W-8)\Bigg) \\
&+ \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} (3m_W-8)(m_W-3) - (3d-11)(d-4)\Bigg)c^3, \text{ and}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
ch(\Omega^3_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z})) = 1 &- \Bigg(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (m_V-2)a_V + \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} (m_W-3)b_W + (d-4)c\Bigg) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\Bigg(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (m_V-2)\Bigg((m_V-2)a_V^2 + 2\Bigg((d-4) - \sum_{W\subset V}(m_W-3)\Bigg)a_Vc\Bigg) \\
&+ \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} (m_W-3)^2b_W^2 + (d-4)^2c^2\Bigg) \\
&+ \frac{1}{6}\Bigg(\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (m_V-2)^2\Bigg((3d-2m_V-8) + \sum_{W\subset V}(2m_V-3m_W+5)\Bigg) \\
&+ \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} (m_W-3)^3 - (d-4)^3\Bigg)c^3.
\end{aligned}$$

To calculate $\mu_p(u)$, we multiply $ch(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(U))$ in Section 4.4, $td(\tilde{Y})$, and $ch(\Omega^p_{\tilde{Y}}(\log \tilde{Z}))$ above. The result is the following, and again, here we used (13) to simplify calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_0(u) = {} & \binom{u_0-1}{3} - \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} \binom{u_W}{3} - \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left((u_0-3)\binom{u_V}{2} - 2\binom{u_V}{3} \right) \\
& - \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \sum_{W\subset V} \left(2\binom{u_V}{3} - (u_W-2)\binom{u_V}{2} \right), \\
\mu_1(u) = {} & (d-u_0-1)\binom{u_0-1}{2} - \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} (m_W-u_W-1)\binom{u_W}{2} \\
& - \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left(u_V(m_V-u_V-1)(u_0-2) + (d-u_0-1-2(m_V-u_V-1))\binom{u_V}{2} \right) \\
& + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \sum_{W\subset V} \left(u_V(m_V-u_V-1)(u_W-u_V) + (m_W-u_W-1)\binom{u_V}{2} \right), \\
\mu_2(u) = {} & (u_0-1)\binom{d-u_0-1}{2} - \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} u_W\binom{m_W-u_W-1}{2} \\
& - \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left((m_V-u_V-1)u_V(d-u_0-2) + (u_0-1-2u_V)\binom{m_V-u_V-1}{2} \right) \\
& + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \sum_{W\subset V} \Bigg((m_V-u_V-1)u_V((m_W-u_W-1)-(m_V-u_V-1)) \\
& +u_W\binom{m_V-u_V-1}{2} \Bigg), \text{ and} \\
\mu_3(u) = {} & \binom{d-u_0-1}{3} - \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} \binom{m_W-u_W-1}{3} \\
& - \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left((d-u_0-3)\binom{m_V-u_V-1}{2} - 2\binom{m_V-u_V-1}{3} \right) \\
& - \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \sum_{W\subset V} \left(2\binom{m_V-u_V-1}{3} - (m_W-u_W-3)\binom{m_V-u_V-1}{2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By the formula (3), $n_{f,\alpha} = \mu_p\left(\left(ic + \sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} \lfloor im_V/d \rfloor b_W + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \lfloor im_V/d \rfloor a_V\right)\right)$ with $\alpha = 4-p-\frac{i}{d} \in (0,4)$. This proves Theorem 1.1.

□

Remark 4.1. Here we calculated all the μ_p without using Proposition 3.1. We can and did use Proposition 3.1 to double-check the formulas for μ_p. In other words, we can get the formulas for $n_{f,4-\frac{i}{d}}$ and $n_{f,3-\frac{i}{d}}$ from the formulas for $n_{f,1-\frac{i}{d}}$ and $n_{f,2-\frac{i}{d}}$ respectively and vice versa. Also, all computations were double-checked by computer. The implementation of the symbolic computation is possible because of the relations (13).

5. Proof of Corollaries

We need the Thom-Sebastiani formula for the Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3. Hyperplane arrangements have non-isolated singularities but the formula still holds in our case (see [10]-II (8.10.6)). Here we state a special case.

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that* $f : (\mathbb{C}^n,\ 0) \to (\mathbb{C},\ 0)$ *can be written as* $f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = g(x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ *for* $g : (\mathbb{C}^m,\ 0) \to (\mathbb{C},\ 0)$*. Then* $Sp(f) = (-t)^{n-m} Sp(g)$*.*

5.1. **Proof of Corollary 1.2.** Consider $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ with degree d in $\mathbb{C}^3$. Let $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = g(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$. We will calculate $Sp(g)$. The hyperplane arrangement g has only one codimension 3 dense edge $W = \{x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$. Moreover the multiplicity m_W is d. Thus, $\lceil i m_W/d \rceil - 1 = i - 1$, $\lfloor (d-i) m_W/d \rfloor = d - i$, $\lfloor i m_W/d \rfloor = i$, and $\lceil (d-i) m_W/d \rceil - 1 = d - i - 1$. Using Theorem 1.1 we get for $i \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{f,\frac{i}{d}} =& 0,\\
n_{f,1+\frac{i}{d}} =& -\binom{i-1}{2} + \sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \binom{\lceil i m_V/d \rceil - 1}{2},\\
n_{f,2+\frac{i}{d}} =& -(i-1)(d-i-1) + \sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (\lceil i m_V/d \rceil - 1)(m_V - \lceil i m_V/d \rceil), \text{ and}\\
n_{f,3+\frac{i}{d}} =& -\binom{d-i-1}{2} + \sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \binom{m_V - \lceil i m_V/d \rceil}{2} + \delta_{i,d}.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Otherwise $n_{f,\alpha} = 0$.

The set $\mathcal{S}^{(2)}$ has one-to-one correspondence to the set $\mathcal{S}$ of codimension 2 dense edges of f. This proves the Corollary 1.2 by Lemma 5.1.

□

5.2. **Proof of Corollary 1.3.** Consider $f(x_1, x_2)$ with degree d in $\mathbb{C}^2$. Let $f(x_1, x_2) = h(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$. We will calculate $Sp(h)$. The hyperplane arrangement g has no codimension 3 dense edge and only one codimension 2 dense edge $V = \{x_1 = x_2 = 0\}$. Moreover the multiplicity m_V is d. Thus $\lceil i m_V/d \rceil - 1 = i - 1$, $\lfloor (d-i) m_V/d \rfloor = d - i$, $\lfloor i m_V/d \rfloor = i$, and $\lceil (d-i) m_V/d \rceil - 1 = d - i - 1$. Using Theorem 1.1 we get for $i \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{f,\frac{i}{d}} =& 0,\\
n_{f,1+\frac{i}{d}} =& 0,\\
n_{f,2+\frac{i}{d}} =& i - 1, \text{ and}\\
n_{f,3+\frac{i}{d}} =& d - i - 1 + \delta_{i,d}.
\end{aligned}$$

Otherwise $n_{f,\alpha} = 0$.

This proves Corollary 1.3 by Lemma 5.1.

□

5.3. **Proof of Corollary 1.5.** Notice that $f_2(x_4) = x_4$ and $\mathcal{S}^{(2)}$ is the set of codimension 2 dense edges of subarrangement $f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ in $\mathbb{C}^4$. Each element in $\mathcal{S}^{(3)}$ is $W_\infty := \cap_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} V$ or $W_V := V \cap \{x_4 = 0\}$ for $V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)}$. Hence, $m_{W_\infty} = d-1$ and $m_{W_V} = m_V + 1$.

First we calculate $n_{f,\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in (0, 2]$. For the fixed i we have two cases, $\lceil im_{W_V}/d\rceil = \lceil im_V/d\rceil$ or $\lceil im_{W_V}/d\rceil = \lceil im_V/d\rceil + 1$. For the first case,

$$u_{W_V} = \lceil im_{W_V}/d\rceil - 1 = \lceil im_V/d\rceil - 1 = u_V$$

and

$$v_{W_V} = \lfloor (d-i)m_{W_V}/d\rfloor = \lfloor (d-i)m_V/d\rfloor + 1 = v_V + 1.$$

For the second case,

$$u_{W_V} = \lceil im_{W_V}/d\rceil - 1 = \lceil im_V/d\rceil = u_V + 1$$

and

$$v_{W_V} = \lfloor (d-i)m_{W_V}/d\rfloor = \lfloor (d-i)m_V/d\rfloor = v_V.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{S}_0^{(2)} = \{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)} | \lceil im_{W_V}/d\rceil = \lceil im_V/d\rceil\}, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)} = \{W_V \in \mathcal{S}^{(3)} | V \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(2)}\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_1^{(2)} = \{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(2)} | \lceil im_{W_V}/d\rceil = \lceil im_V/d\rceil + 1\} \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_1^{(3)} = \{W_V \in \mathcal{S}^{(3)} | V \in \mathcal{S}_1^{(2)}\}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{S}^{(2)} = \mathcal{S}_0^{(2)} \bigsqcup \mathcal{S}_1^{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{(3)} = \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)} \bigsqcup \mathcal{S}_1^{(3)} \bigsqcup \{W_\infty\}$. Using these notations,

$$\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \beta_2(u_V, v_V) = \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}_0^{(2)}} \beta_2(u_{V_1}, v_{V_1}) + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}_1^{(2)}} \beta_2(u_{V_2}, v_{V_2}),$$

$$\sum_{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}} \beta_3(u_W, v_W) = \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}_0^{(2)}} \beta_3(u_{V_1}, v_{V_1} + 1) + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}_1^{(2)}} \beta_3(u_V + 1, v_V) + \beta(u_{W_\infty}, v_{W_\infty}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \sum_{\substack{W\subset V\\ W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}}} \beta_{2,3}(u_V,&v_V, u_W, v_W)\\ &= \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}_0^{(2)}} \left(\beta_{2,3}(u_V, v_V, u_V, v_V + 1) + \beta_{2,3}(u_V, v_V, u_{W_\infty}, v_{W_\infty})\right)\\ &+ \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}_1^{(2)}} \left(\beta_{2,3}(u_V, v_V, u_V, v_V) + \beta_{2,3}(u_V + 1, v_V, u_{W_\infty}, v_{W_\infty})\right),\end{aligned}$$

for any functions β_2, β_3, and $\beta_{2,3}$.

Applying these to Theorem 1.1 we get,

$$\begin{aligned} n_{f,i/d} =& \binom{i-1}{3} - \binom{u_{W_\infty}}{3} + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} (u_{W_\infty} - i + 1)\binom{u_V}{2} \text{ and} \\ n_{f,1+i/d} =& (d-i-1)\binom{i-1}{2} - v_{W_\infty}\binom{u_{W_\infty}}{2} \\ &+ \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \left(u_V v_V (u_{W_\infty} - u_V - i + 2) + (v_{W_\infty} + 2v_V - d + i + 1)\binom{u_V}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $i = \{1, \cdots, d-1\}$, then $u_{W_\infty} = \lceil i(d-1)/d \rceil - 1 = i - 1$ and $v_{W_\infty} = \lfloor (d-i)(d-1)/d \rfloor = d - i - 1$. We get

$$n_{f,i/d} = 0 \text{ and } n_{f,1+i/d} = 0.$$

If $i = d$, then $u_V = m_V - 1$, $v_V = 0$, $u_{W_\infty} = d - 2$ and $v_{W_\infty} = 0$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} n_{f,1} &= \binom{d-2}{2} - \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \binom{m_V - 1}{2} \text{ and} \\ n_{f,2} &= -\binom{d-1}{2} + \sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} \binom{m_V - 1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

To calculate $n_{f,\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in (2, 4]$ fix i. We have two cases, $\lfloor i m_{W_V}/d \rfloor = \lfloor i m_V/d \rfloor$ or $\lfloor i m_{W_V}/d \rfloor = \lfloor i m_V/d \rfloor + 1$.

For the first case,

$$u_{W_V} = \lfloor i m_{W_V}/d \rfloor = \lfloor i m_V/d \rfloor = u_V$$

and

$$v_{W_V} = \lceil (d-i) m_{W_V}/d \rceil = \lceil (d-i) m_V/d \rceil + 1 = v_V + 1.$$

For the second case,

$$u_{W_V} = \lfloor i m_{W_V}/d \rfloor = \lfloor i m_V/d \rfloor + 1 = u_V + 1$$

and

$$v_{W_V} = \lceil (d-i) m_{W_V}/d \rceil = \lceil (d-i) m_V/d \rceil = v_V.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{S}_0^{(2)} = \{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(V)} | \lfloor i m_{W_V}/d \rfloor = \lfloor i m_V/d \rfloor\}, \mathcal{S}_0^{(3)} = \{W_V \in \mathcal{S}^{(3)} | V \in \mathcal{S}_0^{(2)}\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_1^{(3)} = \{W \in \mathcal{S}^{(3)} | \lfloor i m_{W_V}/d \rfloor = \lfloor i m_V/d \rfloor + 1\} \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_1^{(3)} = \{W_V \in \mathcal{S}^{(3)} | V \in \mathcal{S}_1^{(2)}\}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{S}^{(2)}=\mathcal{S}_0^{(2)}\bigsqcup\mathcal{S}_1^{(2)}$, and $\mathcal{S}^{(3)}=\mathcal{S}_0^{(3)}\bigsqcup\mathcal{S}_1^{(3)}\bigsqcup\{W_\infty\}$.
Calculating as the case of $\alpha\in(0,2]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{f,4-i/d} &= \binom{i-1}{3}-\binom{u_{W_\infty}}{3}+\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}(u_{W_\infty}-i+1)\binom{u_V}{2}+\delta_{0,i}\text{ and}\\
n_{f,3-i/d} &= (d-i-1)\binom{i-1}{2}-v_{W_\infty}\binom{u_{W_\infty}}{2}\\
&\quad+\sum_{V\in\mathcal{S}^{(2)}}\left(u_Vv_V(u_{W_\infty}-u_V-i+2)+(v_{W_\infty}+2v_V-d+i+1)\binom{u_V}{2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

If $i=\{1,\cdots,d-1\}$, then $u_{W_\infty}=\lfloor im_{W_\infty}/d\rfloor=i-1$ and $v_{W_\infty}=\lceil(d-i)m_{W_\infty}/d\rceil-1=d-i-1$. We get

$$n_{f,3-i/d}=0\text{ and }n_{f,4-i/d}=0.$$

If $i=0$, then $u_V=\lfloor im_V/d\rfloor=0$, $v_V=\lceil(d-i)m_V/d\rceil-1=m_V-1$, $u_{W_\infty}=\lfloor im_{W_\infty}/d\rfloor=0$ and $v_{W_\infty}=\lceil(d-i)m_{W_\infty}/d\rceil-1=d-2$. We get

$$n_{f,3}=d-1\text{ and }n_{f,4}=0.$$

This proves Corallary 1.5.

□

5.4. **Proof of Corollary 1.6.** In the case that $s_1\le 2$ and $s_2\le 2$, we can apply Corollary 1.4 and get the result of Corollary 1.6. We may assume $s_1>2$. If $s_2=1$, we use the formula (15) with $\mathcal{S}^{(2)}=\{V=\{x_1=x_2=0\}\}$ and $s_1=m_V=d-1$. This proves Corollary 1.6 for this case. If $s_2=2$, we can apply Corollary 1.5 since f_2 can be written as x_3x_4 after a suitable change of coordinates. In this case $\mathcal{S}^{(2)}=\{V=\{x_1=x_2=0\}\}$ and $s_1=m_V=d-2$. The result satisfies Corollary 1.6. Thus, we assume that $s_1>2$ and $s_2>2$. We have only two dense edges in $\mathcal{S}^{(2)}$

$$V_1=\{x_1=x_2=0\}\text{ and }V_2=\{x_3=x_4=0\}.$$

Their multiplicity m_{V_1} and m_{V_2} are s_1 and s_2 respectively. The set $\mathcal{S}^{(3)}$ is a disjoint union of two sets $\mathcal{S}_1^{(3)}$ and $\mathcal{S}_2^{(3)}$, where $\mathcal{S}_k^{(3)}=\{W\in\mathcal{S}^{(3)}|W\subset V_k\}$ for each $k=1,2$. The number of elements in $\mathcal{S}_1^{(3)}$ is s_2 and each $W_1\in\mathcal{S}_1^{(3)}$ has multiplicity $m_{W_1}=s_1+1$. The number of elements in $\mathcal{S}_2^{(3)}$ is s_1 and each $W_2\in\mathcal{S}_2^{(3)}$ has multiplicity $m_{W_2}=s_2+1$.

First we calculate $n_{f,\alpha}$ for $\alpha\in(0,2]$. We have two cases $\lceil im_{W_k}/d\rceil=\lceil im_{V_k}/d\rceil$ or $\lceil im_{W_k}/d\rceil=\lceil im_{V_k}/d\rceil+1$ for each $k=1,2$. For the first case $\lceil im_{W_k}/d\rceil-1=\lceil im_{V_k}/d\rceil-1$ and $\lfloor(d-i)m_{W_k}/d\rfloor=\lfloor(d-i)m_{V_k}/d\rfloor+1$ because $m_{W_1}=s_1$, $m_{W_1}=s_1$, $m_{W_1}=s_1+1$, $m_{W_2}=s_2+1$ and $s_1+s_2=d$. Similarly for the second case, $\lceil im_{W_k}/d\rceil-1=\lceil im_{V_k}/d\rceil$ and $\lfloor(d-i)m_{W_k}/d\rfloor=\lfloor(d-i)m_{V_k}/d\rfloor$.

Considering each $k = 1, 2$ we have the following 4 cases:

$$\begin{array}{rlrl} & \lceil im_{W_1}/d \rceil = \lceil im_{V_1}/d \rceil & & \lceil im_{W_2}/d \rceil = \lceil im_{V_2}/d \rceil \\ \text{or} & \lceil im_{W_1}/d \rceil = \lceil im_{V_1}/d \rceil & & \lceil im_{W_2}/d \rceil = \lceil im_{V_2}/d \rceil + 1 \\ \text{or} & \lceil im_{W_1}/d \rceil = \lceil im_{V_1}/d \rceil + 1 & & \lceil im_{W_2}/d \rceil = \lceil im_{V_2}/d \rceil \\ \text{or} & \lceil im_{W_1}/d \rceil = \lceil im_{V_1}/d \rceil + 1 & & \lceil im_{W_2}/d \rceil = \lceil im_{V_2}/d \rceil + 1. \end{array}$$

We calculate $n_{f,\frac{i}{d}}$ and $n_{f,1+\frac{i}{d}}$ for each case with $m_{W_1} = s_1$, $m_{W_1} = s_1$, $m_{W_1} = s_1 + 1$, $m_{W_2} = s_2 + 1$ and $s_1 + s_2 = d$. In any case above we get the same result

$$\begin{aligned} n_{f,\frac{i}{d}} = & -\frac{1}{6}\left(\lceil is_1/d \rceil + \lceil is_2/d \rceil - i - 1\right)\left((i-1)(i-6) + (i+1)\left(\lceil is_1/d \rceil + \lceil is_2/d \rceil\right)\right. \\ & \left. - 2\left(\lceil is_1/d \rceil^2 - \lceil is_1/d \rceil \lceil is_2/d \rceil + \lceil is_2/d \rceil^2\right)\right) + \delta_{0,i} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} n_{f,1+\frac{i}{d}} = & \frac{1}{2}\left(\lceil is_1/d \rceil + \lceil is_2/d \rceil - i - 1\right)\Big((i^2 - 3i - 2) + (i+1)(\lceil is_1/d \rceil + \lceil is_2/d \rceil) \\ & - (i-2)(s_1 + s_2) + \left(\lceil is_1/d \rceil - \lceil is_2/d \rceil\right)(s_1 - s_2) \\ & - 2\left(\lceil is_1/d \rceil^2 - \lceil is_1/d \rceil \lceil is_2/d \rceil + \lceil is_2/d \rceil^2\right)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

If $gcd(s_1, s_2) = 1$ and $i \in \{1, \cdots, d-1\}$, then the common factor $\lceil is_1/d \rceil + \lceil is_2/d \rceil - i - 1$ of $n_{f,\frac{i}{d}}$ and $n_{f,1+\frac{i}{d}}$ vanishes because $\lceil is_2/d \rceil = \lceil i(d - s_1)/d \rceil = i - \lfloor is_1/d \rfloor$ and $gcd(s_1, d) = 1$. Hence, we have

$$n_{f,\frac{i}{d}} = 0 \text{ and } n_{f,1+\frac{i}{d}} = 0.$$

If $gcd(s_1, s_2) = 1$ and $i = d$, then we get

$$n_{f,1} = (s_1 - 1)(s_2 - 1) \text{ and } n_{f,2} = 1 - s_1 s_2.$$

Similarly, we calculate $n_{f,3-\frac{i}{d}}$ and $n_{f,4-\frac{i}{d}}$ for fixed i but all these give the same result

$$\begin{aligned} n_{f,4-\frac{i}{d}} = & -\frac{1}{6}\left(\lfloor is_1/d \rfloor + \lfloor is_2/d \rfloor - i + 1\right)\left((i-2)(i-3) + (i-1)\left(\lfloor is_1/d \rfloor + \lfloor is_2/d \rfloor\right)\right. \\ & \left. - 2\left(\lfloor is_1/d \rfloor^2 - \lfloor is_1/d \rfloor \lfloor is_2/d \rfloor + \lfloor is_2/d \rfloor^2\right)\right) + \delta_{0,i} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} n_{f,3-\frac{i}{d}} = & \frac{1}{2}\left(\lfloor is_1/d \rfloor + \lfloor is_2/d \rfloor - i + 1\right)\left((i-2)\left(i + 1 - (s_1 + s_2)\right)\right. \\ & + (s_1 - s_2)\left(\lfloor is_1/d \rfloor - \lfloor is_2/d \rfloor\right) + (i-1)\left(\lfloor is_1/d \rfloor + \lfloor is_2/d \rfloor\right) \\ & \left. -2\left(\lfloor is_1/d \rfloor^2 - \lfloor is_1/d \rfloor \lfloor is_2/d \rfloor + \lfloor is_2/d \rfloor^2\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

If $gcd(s_1, s_2) = 1$ and $i \in \{1, \cdots, d-1\}$, then the common factor $\lfloor is_1/d \rfloor + \lfloor is_2/d \rfloor - i + 1 = \lfloor is_1/d \rfloor - \lceil is_1/d \rceil + 1$ of $n_{f,3-\frac{i}{d}}$ and $n_{f,4-\frac{i}{d}}$ vanishes. Therefore, we have

$$n_{f,3-\frac{i}{d}} = 0 \text{ and } n_{f,4-\frac{i}{d}} = 0.$$

If $gcd(s_1, s_2) = 1$ and $i = 0$, then we get

$$n_{f,3} = s_1 + s_2 - 1 \text{ and } n_{f,4} = 0.$$

This proves Corollary 1.6.

□

REFERENCES

[1] N. Budur and M.Saito, Jumping coefficients and spectrum of a hyperplane arrangement. Math. Ann. 347 (2010), no.3, 545–579. 17, 23.

[2] N. Budur and M.Saito, Multiplier ideals, V-filtration, and spectrum. J. Alg. Geom. 14 (2005), 269–119.

[3] N. Budur, On Hodge spectrum and multiplier ideals. Math. Ann. 327 (2003), no. 2, 257–270.

[4] N. Budur, Jumping numbers of hyperplane arrangements. Comm. Algebra. Vol. 38, (2010), 1122–1136.

[5] N. Budur, Hodge spectrum of hyperplane arrangements. arXiv:0809.3443(unpublished).

[6] C. De Concini and C. Procesi, Wonderful models of subspace arrangements. Selecta Math.(N.S) 1 (1995), 459–494.

[7] A. Dimca, Tate properties, polynomial-count varieties, and monodromy of hyperplane arrangements. Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 206, (2012), 75–97.

[8] H. Esnault and E. Viehweg, Lectures on vanishing theorems. DMV Seminar, 20. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (1992).

[9] W. Fulton, Intersection Theory. Springer, Berlin, (1984).

[10] V. Kulikov, Mixed Hodge structures and singularities. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1998) xxii+186.

[11] C.A.M. Peters and J.H.M. Steenbrink, Mixed Hodge Structures. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge Volume 52. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2008).

[12] M. Saito, Multiplier ideals, b-function, and spectrum of a hypersurface singularity. Compos. Math. 143 (2007), 1050-1068.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, IN 46556, USA
E-mail address: `yyoon1@nd.edu`